

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Interbill, Inc.,

Case No.: 2:22-cv-00827-JAD-VCF

Petitioner

v.

Order Amending Judgment

Atlantic-Pacific Processing Systems NV
Corp.,

[ECF No. 35]

Respondent

On December 21, 2022, I granted petitioner Interbill, Inc.’s motion to confirm its arbitration award against respondent Atlantic-Pacific Processing Systems NV Corp., formerly known as Atlantic-Pacific Proceedings Systems, LLC.¹ Judgment was entered “against Atlantic-Pacific Processing Systems, LLC.”² Interbill now moves to amend the judgment under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60(a) to reflect both “Atlantic-Pacific Processing Systems NV Corp” and “Atlantic-Pacific Processing Systems LLC,” noting that the respondent “has referred to itself as the legal entity formerly known as Atlantic-Pacific Processing Systems, LLC.”³ The deadline for Atlantic-Pacific Processing to oppose this motion has passed, and no response was filed. Respondent’s failure to respond to the motion constitutes a consent to granting it under Local Rule 7-2(d), and I find good cause to correct this clerical mistake in the judgment.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Interbill’s motion to amend the judgment [ECF No. 35] is GRANTED. The Clerk of Court is directed to AMEND the Judgment at ECF No. 34 to read as follows: IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that judgment is hereby entered in

¹ ECF No. 33.

² ECF No. 34.

³ ECF No. 35.

1 favor of Interbill, Inc. and against Atlantic-Pacific Processing Systems NV Corp., formerly
2 known as Atlantic-Pacific Processing Systems, LLC, for \$813,355.95 in damages, \$55,824.85 in
3 prejudgment interest, and \$74,537.11 in attorneys' fees and costs, for a total judgment of
4 \$943,717.91.

5
6 
U.S. District Judge Jennifer A. Dorsey
January 18, 2023
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23